

Arrangements, FCC 97-51, adopted February 18, 1997, and released February 19, 1997. The Commission concurrently released a First Report and Order in the same docket. The file is available for inspection and copying during the weekday hours of 9 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. in the Commission's Reference Center, room 239, 1919 M St., N.W., Washington D.C., or copies may be purchased from the Commission's duplicating contractor, ITS, Inc. 2100 M St., N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037, phone (202) 857-3800.

Analysis of Proceeding

The *FNPRM* asks for comment on the technical feasibility of implementing 711 for TRS access. The *FNPRM* also ask parties: (1) if it would be possible to develop within a reasonable time an N11 "gateway" offering access to multiple TRS providers; (2) whether, with such gateway access, TRS calls would still be answered within our mandatory minimum standards for TRS answer times; (3) whether such a gateway would be consistent with Section 255 of the Act; and (4) whether any other important disability services could be accessed through the same gateway. Regarding TRS, the *FNPRM* also requests comment from interested parties, particularly TRS providers, about the possibility of providing both voice and text TRS services through the same abbreviated N11 code. The *FNPRM* also asks for comment on the technical feasibility and time needed to make network changes to ensure that all telecommunications carriers have the same access that LECs have to certain N11 codes. Finally, the *FNPRM* asks for comment on the proprietary nature of N11 codes and on our proposal to transfer the administration of N11 codes at the local level from the incumbent LECs to the NANP administrator.

Ordering Clauses

It is further ordered, pursuant to Sections 1, 4(i) and (j), 201-205, 218 and 251(e)(1) of the Communications Act as amended, 47 U.S.C. Sections 151, 154(i), 151(j), 201-205, 218 and 251(e)(1), that the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking is hereby ADOPTED.

List of Subjects

47 CFR Part 52

Local exchange carrier, Numbering, Telecommunications.

47 CFR Part 64

Communications common carriers, Individuals with disabilities, Telecommunications relay services, and

related customer premises equipment for persons with disabilities, Telephone.

Federal Communications Commission

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-4786 Filed 2-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 630

[I.D. 010897A]

RIN 0648-AE09

Atlantic Swordfish Fisheries; Notice of Availability of Amendment 1

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of availability of an amendment to a fishery management plan; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS announces that the Highly Migratory Species Division has submitted Amendment 1 to the Fishery Management Plan for Atlantic Swordfish (FMP) for review, approval, and implementation by NMFS. Written comments are requested from the public. Amendment 1 would implement limited access measures for the Atlantic swordfish fisheries.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before April 28, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to William Hogarth, Acting Chief, Highly Migratory Species Management Division (F/SF1), NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Requests for copies of Amendment 1, which includes an environmental assessment and a regulatory impact review, should be sent to James Chambers, Fishery Management Specialist, Highly Migratory Species Management Division (F/SF1), NMFS, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James Chambers or John Kelly, 301-713-2347; fax: 301-713-1917.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Atlantic swordfish fishery is managed under the FMP and its implementing regulations found at 50 CFR part 630 and issued under the authority of the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Magnuson-Stevens Act) (16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*) and the Atlantic Tunas Convention Act (ATCA) (16 U.S.C. 971

et seq.). Regulations issued under the authority of ATCA carry out the recommendations of the International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT). The FMP was implemented on September 18, 1985.

If approved, Amendment 1 would redefine permits as directed or incidental, develop eligibility criteria for these permits based on historical participation, and specify rules for transferability of permits. NMFS has determined that the Atlantic swordfish fishery is overfished and overcapitalized, with an excessive number of permitted vessels relative to the harvest level prescribed by ICCAT. The objective of this Amendment is to take a first and significant step towards reducing fleet capacity to levels more closely aligned with resource production by implementing limited access, substantially reducing latent harvesting capacity, and implementing measures to prevent further overcapitalization while allowing traditional hand-gear fishers to participate fully as the stock recovers.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.* and 16 U.S.C. 971 *et seq.*

Dated: February 19, 1997.

Rolland A. Schmitten,

Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 97-4657 Filed 2-20-97; 2:46 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

50 CFR Part 630

[Docket No. 970206023-7023-01; I.D. 010897A]

RIN 0648-AE09

Atlantic Swordfish Fisheries; Limited Access Program

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule; request for comments.

SUMMARY: NMFS proposes to implement the limited access system contained in Amendment 1 to the Fishery Management Plan for Atlantic Swordfish (FMP). If approved, Amendment 1 would establish a two-tiered permit system for the Atlantic swordfish commercial fishery, set forth eligibility criteria for these permits based on historical participation, and limit the transferability of these permits. NMFS has determined that the Atlantic swordfish fishery is overfished and overcapitalized, with an excessive number of permitted vessels relative to